UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/662,337	09/16/2003	Keiko Shiraishi	117194	9255
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 02/05/200 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3208	350	PATEL, MANGLESH M		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22320-4850		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2178	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/662,3	337	SHIRAISHI ET AL.		
		Examine	r	Art Unit		
		MANGLE	SH M. PATEL	2178		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on th	e cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	dress	
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR SHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MORE IS LONGER IS LONGER IN THE MORE IS LONGER IN THE MORE IS LONGER IN THE MORE IS LONGER IN THE MORE IN TH	AILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e lunication. atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATION vent, however, may a reply be tir will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)⊠	1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 October 2008</u> . 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5) 6) 7) 8)	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the at 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict on Papers	re withdrawn from co				
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any objected to a specific specif	a) accepted or betion to the drawing(s) the correction is requi	be held in abeyance. Sered if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	, ,	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t (s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	TO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 2178

DETAILED ACTION

1. This **FINAL** action is responsive to the amendment filed on 10/20/2008.

2. In the amendment claims 1-17 remain pending. Claims 1, 10, 11, 12, 13, 16 and 17 are the independent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

4. Claims 1-17 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Tatsuo (EP 1 286 254 A2, published Feb 26, 2003).

Regarding Independent claims 1, 10, 13 and 16, An instruction form retrieval apparatus comprising: A storage part that stores user information and information on an instruction form management apparatus that is connected to the instruction form retrieval apparatus via a network and is holding an at least one selectable instruction form associated with a user based on user access rights, wherein the instruction form is generated in advance, the instruction form and the user information being associated with each other wherein the instruction form includes instructions and indicates a plurality of processing to be executed by a plurality of instruction form execution apparatuses, and is different than any file to be processed by the instructions; A reception part that receives information on a user; A retrieval part that retrieves the instruction form accessible to the user from the instruction form management apparatus based on the received information on the user. An output part that outputs identification information on the retrieved instruction form to allow the user to instruct performing a process indicated in the instruction form to one or more instruction form execution apparatuses connected to the instruction form retrieval apparatus via the network.

Tatsuo teaches accessing a web server through a network by allowing the user to access an interface and selecting functions that are associated with predetermined processes for processing a plurality of execution apparatuses such as faxing, scanning, copying, printing etc, see fig 1 & 12 & paragraphs 5-8. Furthermore the network holds a user selectable instruction form described in XML for operating the execution apparatuses (paragraphs 121-125 & 157-170).

Art Unit: 2178

Tatsuo further describes support for other hardware resources that are external and connected to the MF-apparatus (see fig 1).

Regarding Dependent claim 2, with dependency of claim 1, Tatsuo discloses wherein the output part outputs the Identification information on the retrieved instruction form to the instruction form execution apparatus used by the user (See abstract & see fig 1 & 12 & paragraphs 5-8 & 121-125 & 157-170, including the explanation provided in the independent claims).

Regarding Dependent claim 3, with dependency of claim 1, Tatsuo discloses wherein the output part outputs the Identification information on the instruction form to a terminal used by the user (See abstract & see fig 1 & 12 & paragraphs 5-8 & 121-125 & 157-170, including the explanation provided in the independent claims).

Regarding Dependent claim 4, with dependency of claim 1, Tatsuo discloses wherein the output part outputs a command to the instruction form management apparatus to transmit the instruction form accessible to the user to the instruction form execution apparatus used by the user, based on the Identification information on the retrieved instruction form (See abstract & see fig 1 & 12 & paragraphs 5-8 & 121-125 & 157-170, including the explanation provided in the independent claims).

Regarding Dependent claim 5, with dependency of claim 1, Tatsuo discloses wherein the output part outputs a command to the instruction form management apparatus to transmit the instruction form accessible to the user to a terminal used by the user, based on the Identification information on the retrieved instruction form (See abstract & see fig 1 & 12 & paragraphs 5-8 & 121-125 & 157-170, including the explanation provided in the independent claims).

Regarding Dependent claim 6, with dependency of claim 1, Tatsuo discloses wherein the outputted Identification information on the retrieved instruction form is information on the instruction form management apparatus holding the instruction form (See abstract & see fig 1 & 12 & paragraphs 5-8 & 121-125 & 157-170, including the explanation provided in the independent claims).

Regarding Dependent claim 7, with dependency of claim 1, Tatsuo discloses wherein the outputted Identification

Art Unit: 2178

information on the retrieved instruction form includes information to identify the instruction form (See abstract & see fig 1 & 12 & paragraphs 5-8 & 121-125 & 157-170, including the explanation provided in the independent claims).

Regarding Dependent claim 8, with dependency of claim 1, Tatsuo discloses wherein user authentication is performed using the information on the user (See abstract & see fig 1 & 12 & paragraphs 5-8 & 121-125 & 157-170, including the explanation provided in the independent claims).

Regarding Dependent claim 9, with dependency of claim 1, Tatsuo discloses a display information generation part that generates information to display the information on the retrieved instruction form (See abstract & see fig 1 & 12 & paragraphs 5-8 & 121-125 & 157-170, including the explanation provided in the independent claims).

Regarding Independent claims 11 and 12, An instruction form execution apparatus comprising: an attachment part that attaches a portable storage, medium which is unique to and capable of being carried by a predetermined user, the storage medium holding information on a plurality of instruction form management apparatuses holding at least one selectable instruction form associated with the user based on user access rights, wherein the instruction form is generated in advance, wherein the instruction form includes instructions and indicates a plurality of processing to be executed by a plurality of instruction form execution apparatuses and is different than any file to be processed by the instructions; and an execution part that executes a plurality of processing instructions indicated in the instruction form, wherein the at least one selectable instruction form is located on at least one server.

Tatsuo teaches accessing a web server through a network by allowing the user to access an interface and selecting functions that are associated with predetermined processes for processing a plurality of execution apparatuses such as faxing, scanning, copying, printing etc, see fig 1 & 12 & paragraphs 5-8. Furthermore the network holds a user selectable instruction form described in XML for operating the execution apparatuses (paragraphs 121-125 & 157-170). Tatsuo further describes support for other hardware resources that are external and connected to the MF-apparatus (see fig 1).

Regarding Dependent claim 14, with dependency of claim 13, Tatsuo discloses wherein the information on the retrieved instruction form is outputted to the instruction form execution apparatus used by the user (See abstract & see fig 1 & 12 & paragraphs 5-8 & 121-125 & 157-170, including the explanation provided in the independent claims).

Art Unit: 2178

Regarding Dependent claim 15, with dependency of claim 13, Tatsuo discloses outputting a command to the

instruction form management apparatus to transmit the instruction form accessible to the user to the instruction form

execution apparatus used by the user, based on the information on the retrieved instruction form (See abstract & see fig

1 & 12 & paragraphs 5-8 & 121-125 & 157-170, including the explanation provided in the independent claims).

Regarding Independent claim 17, An instruction form execution apparatus comprising: an attachment part that

attaches a portable storage medium capable of being carried by a user that stores at least one selectable instruction form

that includes processing instructions associated with the user based on user access rights, wherein the instruction form

is generated in advance, wherein the instruction form indicates a plurality of processing to be executed by a plurality of

instruction form execution apparatuses and is different than any file to be processed by the processing instructions; and

a processing part that executes the processing instructions based on the instruction form to process information not

stored in the storage medium.

Tatsuo teaches accessing a web server through a network by allowing the user to access an interface and selecting

functions that are associated with predetermined processes for processing a plurality of execution apparatuses such as

faxing, scanning, copying, printing etc, see fig 1 & 12 & paragraphs 5-8. Furthermore the network holds a user

selectable instruction form described in XML for operating the execution apparatuses (paragraphs 121-125 & 157-170).

Tatsuo further describes support for other hardware resources that are external and connected to the MF-apparatus (see

fig 1).

It is noted that any citation [[s]] to specific, pages, columns, lines, or figures in the prior art references and any

interpretation of the references should not be considered to be limiting in any way. A reference is relevant for

all it contains and may be relied upon for all that it would have reasonably suggested to one having ordinary

skill in the art. [[See, MPEP 2123]]

Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 2178

5. Applicant's arguments filed 10/20/2008 have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

Applicant Argues: Ito fails to disclose (1) quoted above because Ito's web interface document list screen provides a list of apparatus and a list of documents for the user to select from. However, a list of apparatus and a list of documents are not "instructions indicating a plurality of processing", as claimed, (pg 11, paragraph 5)

Ito fails to disclose feature (2) quoted above because Ito does not disclose any instruction form that contains instructions indicating a plurality of processing that is associated with a user....the document list screen is not associated with the user, and is not stored in a manner associated with user information, as claimed. (pg 12, paragraph 1)

Ito fails to disclose feature (3) quoted above of a reception part that receives information on a user because Ito does not disclose reception of information on a user. In contrast, the input of the user is limited to selection of which apparatus to utilize and which document is to be processed. (pg 12, paragraph 2)

Ito fails to disclose feature (4) quoted above of a retrieval part that retrieves an instruction form accessible to the user from the instruction form management apparatus based on the received information on the user because the user selects...the document list screen is displayed as a result of the user selecting, not based on information on the user, as claimed. (pg 12, paragraph 3)

Ito fails to disclose feature (5) quoted above of an output part that outputs identification information on the retrieved instruction form because Ito discloses that the user selects button 651 to display a list of apparatuses that can be used to process documents. Once an apparatus is selected, the processing to take place is already determined. Further the list of apparatuses is provided by the SF-appliance 100 but is not derived from any instruction form, thus the claimed output part that outputs Identification info on the retrieved instruction form is not taught. (pg 12, paragraph 4)

Ito fails to disclose feature (8) quoted above because Ito does not disclose use of any portable medium. (pg 13, paragraph 2)

Art Unit: 2178

The Examiner Respectfully Disagrees: See Ito paragraph 12 discloses that the user communicates with the web server such a communication includes a user selecting a document, the document contains instructions for executing an apparatus via a web service client part sending the request from the web server part to the apparatus in accordance with a predetermined message exchange protocol such as SOAP. Furthermore the instructions are associated with a user, because they are based on the user selection. The basic feature of a web server as well known in the art includes user authentication thereby including reception of information on a user allowing access to web services based on information on the user. Finally the reference provides a suggestion for use of a portable medium because Ito shows support for a USB connection for peripherals in fig 2 numeral 1330. Ito in general teaches executing instructions in the form of messages in a web services environment for faxing, printing and scanning.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manglesh M. Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-5937. The examiner can normally be reached on M, W 6 am-3 pm T, TH 6 am-2pm, Fr 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen S. Hong can be reached on (571) 272-4124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2178

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Manglesh M. Patel Patent Examiner (AU 2178) January 30, 2009

/Manglesh M Patel/ Manglesh Patel Examiner, Art Unit 2178

/CESAR B PAULA/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2178